

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF TEXAS  
MARSHALL DIVISION

PA ADVISORS, LLC,	§	
	§	
Plaintiff,	§	
	§	Civil Action No. 2:07-cv-480-RRR
v.	§	
	§	
GOOGLE, INC., et al.,	§	JURY TRIAL DEMANDED
	§	
Defendants.	§	

**nXn TECH, LLC’S OPPOSITION TO YAHOO! INC.’S MOTION FOR LEAVE TO  
FILE SUPPLEMENTAL BRIEF IN SUPPORT OF ITS MOTIONS FOR SUMMARY  
JUDGMENT OF NON-INFRINGEMENT**

nXn Tech, LLC (f/k/a PA Advisors, LLC) (“nXn”) respectfully files this opposition to Yahoo! Inc. (“Yahoo”)’s Motion for Leave to File Supplemental Brief In Support of Its Motions for Summary Judgment of Non-Infringement (“Motion for Leave”). nXn opposes Yahoo’s attempt to introduce unauthorized arguments and evidence for non-infringement of Claim 1 one court day before Yahoo’s non-infringement motions are to be heard.

The Court’s Order of October 1, 2009 (Docket No. 286), required Yahoo to submit a letter brief seeking permission to file any motion for summary judgment. On November 4, 2009, Yahoo filed such a letter brief seeking summary judgment on two bases. *See* Docket No. 297-2. First, Yahoo argued it was entitled to summary judgment on Claim 1 and dependent claims thereto because Yahoo allegedly does not perform step 1(c) of Claim 1, and allegedly does not “direct or control” the performance of this step, as, Yahoo argued, is required under *Muniauction, Inc. v. Thomson Corp. Id.* at 1-2. Second, Yahoo argued that it was entitled to summary judgment on Claim 45 and dependent claims thereto because Yahoo allegedly does not

perform steps (a) through (k) of Claim 45. *Id.* at 2. Based on this representation, Judge Folsom granted Yahoo's request for permission to file a motion for summary judgment "on all issues proposed" in its letter brief, and not on any other issues. Order of Dec. 14, 2009 (Docket No. 330).

Yahoo's First Motion for Summary Judgment followed the first basis of its letter brief, focusing on non-infringement of Claim 1 under the doctrine of divided infringement and the holding thereon in *Muniauction*. See Docket No. 356. However, Yahoo's Second Motion for Summary Judgment was not limited to the second basis of its letter brief, non-infringement of Claim 45. Instead, Yahoo also argued for non-infringement of steps (a) and (i) of Claim 1. See Docket No. 390 at 9-12.

These arguments exceeded the "issues proposed" in Yahoo's letter brief, and were therefore not authorized by the Court's December 13, 2009 Order. These arguments should therefore not be considered by the Court. Moreover, Yahoo makes further arguments regarding non-infringement of steps (a) and (i) in its proposed supplemental brief (Docket No. 472 at 3-5), thus compounding its improper conduct. The Court should therefore deny Yahoo's motion for leave as to these portions of Yahoo's supplemental brief.

Dated: March 1, 2010

Andrew W. Spangler  
SPANGLER LAW P.C.  
208 N. Green Street, Suite 300  
Longview, Texas 75601  
(903) 753-9300  
(903) 553-0403 (fax)  
[spangler@spanglerlawpc.com](mailto:spangler@spanglerlawpc.com)

David M. Pridham  
LAW OFFICE OF DAVID PRIDHAM  
25 Linden Road  
Barrington, Rhode Island 02806  
(401) 633-7247  
(401) 633-7247 (fax)  
[david@pridhamiplaw.com](mailto:david@pridhamiplaw.com)

John M. Bustamante  
Texas Bar No. 24040618  
BUSTAMANTE, P.C.  
54 Rainey Street, No. 721  
Austin, Texas 78701  
Tel. 512.940.3753  
Fax. 512.551.3773  
Email: [jmb@BustamanteLegal.com](mailto:jmb@BustamanteLegal.com)

Kip Glasscock  
Texas State Bar No. 08011000  
KIP GLASSCOCK P.C.  
550 Fannin, Suite 1350  
Beaumont, TX 77701  
Tel: (409) 833-8822  
Fax: (409) 838-4666  
Email: [kipglasscock@hotmail.com](mailto:kipglasscock@hotmail.com)

Respectfully submitted,

By: /s/ Patrick R. Anderson  
Patrick R. Anderson

Marc A. Fenster, CA Bar No. 181067  
CA Bar No. 181067  
[mfenster@raklaw.com](mailto:mfenster@raklaw.com)  
Andrew Weiss  
CA Bar No. 232974  
[aweiss@raklaw.com](mailto:aweiss@raklaw.com)  
Adam Hoffman  
CA Bar No. 218740  
[ahoffman@raklaw.com](mailto:ahoffman@raklaw.com)  
RUSS, AUGUST & KABAT  
12424 Wilshire Blvd., 12th Floor  
Los Angeles, CA 90025  
(310) 826-7474  
(310) 826-6991 (fax)

Patrick R. Anderson  
PATRICK R. ANDERSON PLLC  
4225 Miller Rd, Bldg. B-9, Suite 358  
Flint, MI 48507  
(810) 275-0751  
(248) 928-9239 (fax)  
[patrick@prapllc.com](mailto:patrick@prapllc.com)

Debera W. Hepburn,  
Texas Bar No. 24049568  
HEPBURN LAW FIRM PLLC  
P.O. Box 118218  
Carrollton, TX 75011  
Telephone: 214/403-4882  
Facsimile: 888/205-8791  
Email: [dhepburn@heplaw.com](mailto:dhepburn@heplaw.com)

Elizabeth A. Wiley  
Texas State Bar No. 00788666  
THE WILEY FIRM PC  
P.O. Box. 303280  
Austin, Texas 78703-3280  
Telephone: (512) 420.2387  
Facsimile: (512) 551.0028  
Email: [lizwiley@wileyfirmnpc.com](mailto:lizwiley@wileyfirmnpc.com)

**CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE**

I certify that the foregoing response of Plaintiff nXn was served on counsel of counsel pursuant to Rule 5(b) of the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure, by electronic mail, on this 1st day of March, 2010.

/s/ Patrick R. Anderson  
Patrick R. Anderson